See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322759963

Evaluation of the Structural Performance of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) and Cement

Article · June 2016

DOI: 10.20431/2454-8693.0202003

CITATIC	N	READS		
1		83		
2 autł	hors, including:			
	Ariyo Adanikin			
	Elizade University			
	9 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS			
	SEE PROFILE			
Some	of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			
Proje	civil Engineering Materials View project			

Transportation Policy View project

Evaluation of the Structural Performance of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) and Cement

Olutaiwo A.O., Adanikin Ariyo

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Lagos, Akoka. Lagos, Nigeria *adewaleolutaiwo@gmail.com*

Abstract: This study presents the result of experimental investigation on the evaluation of the effects of Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) on the index and structural properties of lateritic soil of A-7-5 (AASHTO Classification) and SP soil (USCS classification) respectively. The study was in two phases, firstly with the addition of CPA only by weight of dry soil and secondly with the addition of 5% cement with the CPA by weight of dry soil. The CPA additions were performed using 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10% increment by weight of dry soil thoroughly mixed. The results of the treated soil showed that the index properties: plastic limit and the maximum dry density (MDD) decreased as the CPA addition increased whereas the liquid limit, plasticity index, Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) increased as the CPA content increased. It was also discovered that the Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) increased up to 4% of CPA addition after which was a reduction. This implies that CPA possesses the potential for use in the modification/ stabilization of lateritic soils. Thus the use of CPA in road construction works can be said to have two major advantages – as an effective agricultural waste management and the improvement of road construction soils for better road performance.

Keywords: Cassava Peel Ash (CPA), Ordinary Portland cement, Stabilization, Laterite Soil, OMC, MDD, CBR, UCS.

1. INTRODUCTION

The most available soils may lack some required engineering properties to bear the expected superimposed loads, so improvements have to be made to make these soils better through soil modification /stabilization.

The volume of wastes generated in the world has also increased over the years and agricultural wastes constitute a major component. Nigeria, being the highest producer of cassava in Africa, has the highest volume of Cassava Peel (Food and Agricultural Organization FAO, 2013). One of the most attractive options of managing such wastes and minimizing their negative environmental effects is to look into the possibility of waste minimization and re-utilization. This will also help to reduce the overdependence on conventional cement for soil stabilization, which will then produce economic advantages.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lateritic soils form a group comprising a wide variety of red, brown, and yellow, fine-grained residual soils of light texture as well as nodular gravels and cemented soils (Lambe and Whitman, 1979). Abundance of these soils and their favourable engineering properties make them useful as a construction or foundation material for roads, airfields, low-cost housing and compacted fill in earth embankments. Garber and Hoel (2000) describe soil stabilization as the treatment of natural soil to improve its engineering properties.

Pozzolans are defined as materials, which though not cementitious in themselves, contain constituents which will combine with alkalis at ordinary temperature in the presence of water to form insoluble compounds possessing cementitious properties (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pozzolan). Nigerian cassava production is by far the largest in the world, a third more than the production in Brazil and almost double the production of Indonesia and Thailand (FAO, 2013). Cassava peel is a by-product of cassava processing either for domestic consumption or industrial uses. Adesanya et al., (2008)

reported that cassava peels constitute between 20-35% of the weight of the tuber, especially in the case of hand peeling which is the predominant method used in Nigeria.

Previous research works on agricultural wastes as it affects soil stabilization include: Soil stabilization using some pozzolanic industrial and agricultural products (Chmeisse,1992); Structural Strength Characteristics of Cement-Cassava Peel Ash Blended Concrete (Salau M.A, 2012); Cassava Peel Ash Stabilized Lateritic Soil as Highway Pavement Material (Edeh, J. 2014); Effect of Coconut Husk Ash on the Geotechnical Properties of Poor Lateritic Soil (Oluremi, 2012); Characteristics of Bamboo Leaf Ash Stabilization on Lateritic Soil (Olugbenga, 2010).

3. STUDY METHODOLOGY

Cassava peels used in this study were collected as refuse from a local processing factory in Emure, Ekiti State of Nigeria (Coordinates 7.4500° N, 5.4667° E).

The peels were first dried in open air and burnt to ash in an electric furnace (Carbolite GPC 12/65) at 750°C for 90 minutes. The clinker was grinded to fine powder and passed through the 150µm sieve. The Lateritic soil was obtained from borrow pit located at KM 48 Lagos-Ibadan expressways, Redemption camp, Ogun State. Nigeria. The soil samples were taken at depths of 2m from the natural ground surface. Plates 1-4 show the stages of sourcing and conversion of the cassava peel to ash.

3.1. Tests on Cassava Peel Ash

Chemical tests were carried out on the CPA samples to determine their chemical compositions. The results are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Tests on Soil Sample

The following tests were carried out on the tested soil sample:

- The Atterberg limits, used to distinguish between silty, sandy and clayey soils.
- Compaction test, carried out to determine the Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) and the Maximum Dry Density (MDD) of CPA-added sample (0%-10%)
- California Bearing Ratio, used to determine the bearing capacity of both the soil sample alone and the CPA-added soil sample. The tests were carried out on the sample in the soaked and unsoaked states.
- Unconfined Compressive Strength Test, used to obtain the shear strength parameters of cohesive (fine grained) soils either in undisturbed or remolded state using a triaxial machine.



Plate1. A Typical Cassava Peel dumpsite



Plate2. Dried Cassava Peel sample

Evaluation of the Structural Performance of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) and Cement



Plate3. Converting Cassava Peel to CPA (placed in earthen pot)



Plate4. Cassava Peel Ash sample

S/N	Compound	Level of Cassava Peel Ash Oxide Detected (%)		
1	SiO ₂	56.81		
2	Fe ₂ O ₃	7.21		
3	Al ₂ O ₃	7.74		
4	CaO	6.94		
5	MgO	3.93		
6	SO3	4.05		
7	K ₂ O	4.64		
8	Na ₂ O	0.43		
9	Loss on Ignition	6.78		
10	Specific Gravity	1.95		
11	$Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3$	14.95		
12	$SiO_2 + Fe_2O_3 + Al_2O_3$	71.76		

Table1. Result of Chemical Analysis of the CPA Sample

The result shows that the combined percentage of $SiO_2 + Fe_2 O_3 + Al_2 O_3 = 71.76\%$ is greater than 70% and this shows that the CPA meets the ASTM standard for a good pozzolan (ASTM C 618 & TS 25).

The results of the preliminary tests on the lateritic soil sample are shown in Table 2.

Grading based on the LL and PI shows that the AASHTO Classification is A-7-5 Lateritic soil.

Table2. Summary of Preliminary Tests on Soil (A: 7:5)

Properties	Values
Natural Moisture Content (%)	15.60
Specific Gravity	2.57
Liquid Limit (%)	41.40
Plastic Limit (%)	30.10
Plasticity Index (%)	11.31
Maximum Dry Density ()	1.81
Optimum Moisture Content (%)	15.20
CBR (%) – Unsoaked	23
- Soaked	15
Unconfined Compressive Strength (MN/m ²)	21.09
AASHTO Classification	A-7-5
Unified Classification. System classification	Poorly Graded Sand (SP)
Soil Type	Clayey
Color	Light Brown

Olutaiwo A.O. & Adanikin Ariyo

3.2.1. Specific Gravity Test Result

Specific Gravity = $\frac{W2-W1}{(W4-W1)-(W3-W2)}$

Specific Gravity G_s was obtained as 2.57

Table3. Atterberg Limits of Lateritic Soil + CPA (0% - 10%)

This falls within the range of value for clayey soils (2.44 - 2.92) or Kaolin (2.47 - 2.92).

3.2.2. Atterberg Limits Results

The liquid and plastic limit results for soil + CPA (0 - 10%) are shown in Table 3 and the corresponding graphs are shown in Fig. 2.

	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%
Liquid Limit	41.40	42.21	44.43	52.87	57.67	58.31
Plastic Limit	30.10	29.32	26.57	25.01	24.90	23.98
Plasticity Index	11.30	12.89	17.86	27.86	32.77	34.33



Fig2. Graphs of Atterberg Limits of Soil + CPA (0 - 10%)

LL - Increase in CPA introduced more pozzolanic substances from the ash that required more amount of water for hydration.

PL - Amount of soil to be flocculated decreased and the CPA incorporated the voids in the soil

The liquid and plastic limit results for soil + CPA (0 - 10%) + 5% Cement are shown in Table 4 and the corresponding graphs are shown in Fig. 3.

	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%
Liquid Limit	41.40	45.45	49.72	56.11	63.89	67.45
Plastic Limit	30.10	27.12	26.78	24.34	22.01	21.33

Table4. Atterberg Limits of Lateritic Soil + CPA (0% - 10%) + 5% Cement



Fig3. Graphs of Atterberg Limit of Soil +CPA (0-10%) + 5% Cement

International Journal of Constructive Research in Civil Engineering (IJCRCE)

Evaluation of the Structural Performance of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) and Cement

There was an increase in liquid limit with increase in cassava peel ash content. The result is in agreement with the definition of liquid limit, which is the moisture content at which the soil exhibits dynamic shear strength. When an alteration occurs in the system of a soil existing in its liquid limit such that there is relative decrease in the repulsive forces, its strength increase to a specific value such that more moisture will be needed to bring the soil to its dynamic shear strength. This tended to increase the value of the liquid limit of the soil - CPA mixture from the value of 41.40% at 0% CPA content to a maximum value of 58.31% at 10 % CPA content.

The addition of CPA resulted in a decrease in the plastic limit of the treated soils (see Table 3 and Fig 2). This could be due to insufficient free lime content in the CPA so as to increase the plastic limit and hence the reduction. There was reduction in the plastic limit from 30.10% at 0% CPA to 23.98% at 10% CPA. For the CPA+ 5% Cement admixture, the reduction of plastic limit was from 30.10% at 0% CPA+ 5% Cement to 21.33% at 10% CPA+ 5% Cement. Plasticity Index increased due to deficiency of Ca²⁺ which is required to replace the weakly bonded ions in the soil structure and hence, flocculation did not occur.

3.3. Compaction Test- OMC

The natural Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) of the sample was 15.20% with a Maximum Dry Density (MDD) of 1.81 g/cm³. In the Soil + CPA sample, the addition of CPA (0-10%) caused corresponding increase in the OMC of the soil. The corresponding values of the OMC are shown in Table 5. On addition of CPA in proportion of 2-10%, a perpetual decrease in the value of the MDD was recorded. The Maximum Dry Density of the corresponding addition of CPA (2%-10%) is as shown in Table 6.

For the Soil + CPA + 5% Cement, the value of the OMC at 0 - 10% increased with corresponding decrease in the values of the MDD as listed in Table 5 and 6.

CPA Variation	OMC of Soil + CPA	OMC of Soil + CPA + 5% Cement
(%)	(%)	(%)
0	15.20	15.20
2	15.20	16.10
4	16.13	16.90
6	16.48	16.80
8	16.56	16.80
10	16.92	17.00

Table5. Results of OMC with Variation of CPA and Cement



Fig4. *Graphs of OMC of Samples with CPA* (0-10%) + 5%*Cement*

The increase in OMC was due to the addition CPA + Cement, which decreased the quantity of free silt and clay fraction and coarser materials with larger surface areas were formed (these processes need water to take place).

Olutaiwo A.O. & Adanikin Ariyo

3.4. Compaction Test – MDD

CPA Variation MDD of Soil + CPA MDD of Soil + CPA + 5% Cement (%) (g/cm³) (g/cm^3) 0 1.80 1.80 2 1.82 1.80 4 1.81 1.79 1.79 1.78 6 8 1.73 1.73 10 1.70 1.70





Fig5. Graph of MDD of Samples with CPA (0-10%)+ 5%Cement

The decrease in the MDD may be attributed to the replacement of portion of the lateritic soil by the CPA which has relatively lower specific gravity (1.95) and the Cement-CPA of specific gravity of 2.32 compared to the soil (2.57). It may also be attributed to coating of the soil by the CPA which result to large particles with larger voids and hence less density. But the presence of cement in most soil types generally increases the MDD.

3.5. C.B.R. Tests - Unsoaked and Soaked

The variation of soaked and unsoaked California Bearing Ratio (CBR) for lateritic soil treated with CPA only and CPA + Cement was analyzed and the results are as shown in Tables 7 and 8. The soaked CBR values of the treated soil increased from 15% for the natural soil to 22% for 8% and decreased to 20% at 10% CPA.

	UNSOAKED CBR							
CPA increment	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%		
Soil +CPA	23	23	24	26	29	33		
Soil +CPA+ Cement	23	44	45	62	68	76		

 Table 7. Table of Unsoaked CBR for Soil + CPA and Soil + CPA + 5% Cement



Fig6. Graphs of Unsoaked CBR for Soil + CPA and Soil + CPA + 5% Cement

International Journal of Constructive Research in Civil Engineering (IJCRCE)

Evaluation of the Structural Performance of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) and Cement

The unsoaked CBR values also increased but the increase for Soil+ CPA + Cement was greater compared to that of Soil + CPA

	SOAKED CBR							
	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%		
Soil +CPA	15	20	21	22	22	20		
Soil +CPA+ Cement	15	50	52	68	74	84		

 Table8. Results of Soaked CBR for Soil + CPA and Soil + CPA + 5% Cement



Fig7. Graphs of soaked CBR for Soil + CPA and Soil + CPA + 5% Cement

The significant increase in soaked CBR over the unsoaked is probably due to the combined effect of cement in the admixed Soil + CPA + Cement which reflects the hydrating effect of cement in the presence of water, which leads to increase in strength.

3.6. Unconfined Compression Strength (UCS) Test

The UCS results with variation of CPA from 0% to 10% and for CPA variation + 5% Cement are as shown in Table 9 and Figure 8. It is observed that the UCS for the soil and CPA admixture increased from 21.09 KN/m3 (for the natural soil) to 32.80 KN/m3 at 10% CPA addition.

The UCS values increase with subsequent addition of CPA + 5% Cement to its maximum at between 8% CPA + 5% Cement after which it drops at 10% CPA+5% Cement.

	0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%
Soil + CPA	21.09	22.01	25.81	26.69	29.86	32.80
Soil + CPA +5%Cement	21.09	25.96	31.76	34.47	37.21	35.95

Table9. Table of UCS Values of Soil + CPA And Soil + CPA + 5% Cement



Fig8. Graphs of UCS Tests

The increase in the UCS is attributed to the formation of cementitious compounds between the CaOH present in the soil and CPA and the pozzolans present in the CPA+ Cement admixture.

4. CONCLUSION

The engineering properties of the lateritic soil improved when stabilized with Cement and Cassava Peel Ash compared to when it was stabilized with Cassava Peel Ash alone. The soil classification according to AASHTO was A-7-5 at 0% CPA changed to A-2-7 at 10% CPA indicating a fairly better and improved soil. The OMC of the CPA stabilized soil increased while its MDD decreases. The CBR and UCS values increased for the lateritic soil stabilized with CPA.

The stabilized lateritic soil with CPA will be most suitable for use as fill materials while the stabilized admixed lateritic CPA + 5% Cement soil will be suitable for use as base courses. CPA, when used as an alternative or as a partial replacement of cement in stabilizing Lateritic soils, can help reduce the cost of road construction materials and help solve disposal problems associated with Cassava Peels as an agricultural waste.

5. RECOMMENDATION

In the light of the positive effects of the Cassava Peel Ash as a pozzolana, it is recommended that CPA be produced in commercial quantity in its pure form or as a CPA-cement blend and used as a stabilizing agent for road pavement construction especially for low trafficked or rural roads.

REFERENCES

- AASHTO (1986): "Standard Specifications for Transportation Materials and Method of Sampling and Testing". American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, USA.
- BS. 1377 (1990): "Methods of Testing Soil for Civil Engineering Purposes". British Standards Institute, London, England
- Chmeisse, C. (1992): "Soil Stabilization Using Some Pozzolanic Industrial and Agricultural **Products**" Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Department of Civil and Mining Engineering, University of Wollongong. Accessed at: http://ro.uow.edu.au/thesis/1268 at October 2015.
- Edeh, J., Tyav, S., and Osinubi, K. (2014): "Cassava Peel Ash Stabilized Lateritic Soil as Highway Pavement Material". Pavement Materials, Structures, and Performance: pp. 375-382. doi: 10.1061/9780784413418.037
- FAO (2013): "Save and Grow: Cassava, A guide to sustainable production intensification", Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. pp.1–129.
- Food Safety Network (2005): "What is Cassava?" Retrieved from http://foodsafety.ksu.edu/articles /533/cassava_factsheet.pdf. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pozzon
- Lambe, T.W and Whitman. R. (1979): "Soil mechanics", SI version, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.
- M. A Salau, E.E Ikponmwosa, and K.A Olonade (2012): "Structural Strength Characteristics of Cement-Cassava Peel Ash Blended Concrete", Civil and Environmental Research ISSN 2222-1719 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2863 (Online) Vol 2, No.10,
- Garber, N. J and Hoel, L. A. (2000): "Traffic and Highway Engineering", 2nd ed. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, London, 481- 492, 927- 930.
- Nigerian General Specifications (1997): "Roads and Bridge Works". Federal Ministry of Works, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Olonade, K.A. (2014) "Characterization of Cassava Peel Ash (CPA) As Pozzolan in Concrete" Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Lagos.
- Olugbenga O. Amu et. al. (2010) "Characteristics of Bamboo Leaf Ash Stabilization on Lateritic Soil". Highway International Journal of Engineering and Technology Vol.2.
- Oluremi, J.R., S.I. Adedokun, and O.M. Osuolale. (2012). "Effect of Coconut Husk Ash on the Geotechnical Properties of Poor Lateritic Soil". Pacific Journal of Science and Technology. 13(2):499-507.
- Salau, M.A. and Olonade, K.A. "**Pozzolanic Potentials of Cassava Peel Ash**". Journal of Engineering Research, No.6, March 2011, pp. 10-20.

AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHY



Dr. A. O. Olutaiwo, is a senior lecturer in the Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of Lagos, Nigeria. He specializes in Highway, Traffic and Transportation Engineering.

Engr. Ariyo Adanikin, is a M.Sc. holder of Highway and Traffic Engineering. He is also a registered and practicing civil / structural engineer.

View publication stats