

Gusau Journal of Accounting and Finance (GUJAF)

Vol. 2 Issue 2 April, 2021 ISSN: 2756-665X

A Publication of
Department of Accounting and Finance,
Faculty of Management and Social Sciences,
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State –Nigeria

ARE THERE FACTORS INFLUENCING AUDITORS' INDEPENDENCE IN LISTED FIRMS? EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS FROM NIGERIA

Sunday Oseiweh Ogbeide, PhD

Department of Accounting and Finance Faculty of Humanities, Social and Management Sciences Elizade University, Ilara- Mokin, Ondo State, Nigeria. +234-8132490958, sunnyogbeide2017@gmail.com

Ikhu-Omoregbe Godstime Osarobo, PhD

Department of Accounting Faculty of Management Sciences University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

Abstract

Quest for a potent measure of audit independence in the light of the increasing collapse of firms after an external auditor report informed the rationale behind this research. The study investigated the factors driving auditor independence in Nigeria using data from a sample of eighty eight (88) listed firms in the period 2015 to 2019. The Correlation statistic and dynamic panel least squares method. The result indicates that audit committee independence, managerial ownership and audit tenure were significant and exerted a favourable link on auditor independence. Board gender diversity was negative on auditor independence. The stances of the research is that audit committee independence, managerial ownership and audit tenure are key drivers of auditor independence of listed firms in Nigeria.

Key words: Auditor Independence, Audit Tenure, Audit Committee Independence, Board Gender Diversity, Beneish M-Score

1. Introduction

The incessant corporate scandals and collapses of firms after audited annual reports have continued to elicit the attention of researchers and scholars to reinvestigate independence of an auditor. Ali and Nesrine (2015) emphasized that in recent time, auditor independence has become more researched given the financial scandals against firms. For instance, the financial scandals against firms such as Enron, (US) WorldCom, Parmalat (Italy), Nortel, (Canada), Onetel (Australia), Lehman Brother and Merrill Lynch, American International Group (AIG), Oceanic and Intercontinental banks (Nigeria) after the audited financial statements bring to fore the need to re-examine factors driving independence of external auditors. Generally, an audited financial statement is a conduit for a reliable and transparent information on the true health of a firm to shareholders, potential investors and other stakeholders in general. It serves as the basis of undertaking informed decision and policies formulations by the myriad users (Adeyemi & Okpala, 2011).

An external auditor is perceived to be independent in carrying out audit assignments if the auditor is able to unravel the depth of material misstatements and manipulated accounting numbers in the annual reports in a bid to reflect high audit quality. Tagesson, Sjodahl, Collin, Olsson and Svensson (2017) posit that auditor independence is a determinant of quality audit report. Conventionally, there is a theoretical notion that quality of an audit report is premised on amount the external auditor is remunerated with (Salawu, 2017). Audit fee is perceived to be a primary factor in assessing the external auditor independence in companies. Despite these notions, several adverse effects such as the scandals in and collapse of firms portrays that audit fee is not a reliable driver of auditor independence. In consonance with this, Li and Lin (2005)

report that high fees paid by a firm to its external auditor tends to increase the economic bond between the auditor and the client firm and may impair the expected independence of the auditor.

Salawu (2017) states that despite the importance and centrality of independence to auditing profession, auditors in contemporary times seek to expand their profit while at the same time strive for independence and these double pursuits tend to pose a serious challenge to audit practices. The SEC (2000) report indicates that four big 4 audit firms earned 50% of their income from management and consulting field which was only 13% as at 1981. Mitchell and Sikka (2002) noted that the pursuit of profits inhibits auditors in providing reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and manipulations.

Presumably, for external auditor to be truly independent, such an auditor should be able to unravel the level of manipulated accounting numbers in the financial statements and exercise an acceptable level of materiality judgment in favour of the client firm. In accounting and audit literature, assessment and disclosure of manipulated accounting numbers as well as exercising critical judgment over materiality in the financial statements are an effective measure of auditor independence (Beneish, 1990; Messier, Martinov – Bennie & Eillifsen, 2005). Beneish (1990); Messier, Martinov – Bennie and Eillifsen, (2005) were the early scholars to measure manipulated accounting numbers and level of materiality using Beneish M-Score index and auditor materiality judgment. Since the development of the Beneish M-Score index as a measure of auditor independence, there are no researches which have applied it in developing countries, especially in the Nigeria context, thus creating a gap in literature.

Auditor independence does not occur without the influence of certain factors (Li & Lin 2005). Salawu (2017) opines that factors such as board gender diversity, audit committee independence, managerial ownership and auditor tenure likely common drivers of auditor independence in contemporary times. Researches on board gender diversity are gradually gaining ascendancy in the Nigeria clime. However, there appears to be a neglect of policy thrust gear towards promoting gender diversity at both macro and micro levels in Nigeria. The exclusion of potential and capable women directors on the board may contribute to an adverse effect on the strategic management of firms, poor monitoring of performance and independence of the statutory auditor. This argument is based on the notion that women are generally believed to be more ethical and committed to company goal, punctual in board and committee meetings compared to the ''men folk'' in firms.

The audit committee is a pivotal governance structure in ensuring the independence of a statutory auditor in firms. The audit committee is germane in matters relating to the appointment of external auditor of a firm. Audit committee owns a fiduciary a duty to shareholders and other stakeholders to be independent, discusses with and keep external auditors abreast of the need for independence and objectivity in assigned duties. In promoting this, the audit committee meets with the external auditor on a frequent basis without board of directors' knowledge and discusses with the auditor some contentious issues that may have occurred in the course of the audit exercise. In the context of Nigeria, there seems to be lack of a body of empirical evidence on association between independence of audit committee and external auditor independence, thus leading to a vacuum to be addressed in this study.

Besides the effectiveness and independence of the audit committee and board gender diversity, the interest of the managers by way of investment and ownership could contribute to external auditor's independence. If managers have stakes in a firm through investments shareholding,

there is the tendency that they would be committed to ensuring that the audited financial statements reflect a true and fair view. In the view of Kane and Velury (2004), increase in management ownership in firms plays a paramount role and influence over the external auditor in the financial statements of companies. Tagesson, et al (2017) opine that while audit tenure may improve auditor independence, there are opposing views that it is not a sufficient condition for it. The belief is that independence is commonly gained at the expense of the auditor's knowledge of business structure and routine.

2. Empirical Review

Auditor independence is the tendency of an external auditor to overcome undue influence from board of directors in the course of audit engagement in order to enure that the professional integrity of the auditor is not negatively affected (Ali & Nesrine, 2015). The major task of a statutory auditor, among others is to duly express an unqualified opinion on whether or not a firm's financial reports are devoid of accounting manipulations and material misstatements (Salawu, 2017). Beneish (1990); Messier, Martinov – Bennie and Eillifsen, (2005) emphasized that an external auditor is independent if the auditor is objective, able to discover and reveal manipulations of accounting numbers in the financial statements of firms. Koschtial (2013) employed Beneish model to ascertain accounting number manipulations. The study outcome shows that highly manipulated firm where auditors issue unqualified audit opinion are susceptible to bankruptcy.

On the empirical fronts, Mwangi, Oluoch, Muturi and Memba (2017) conducted a study on the effect of gender diversity on quality of financial reporting in non- commercial state owned corporations in Kenya. The result showed that the presence of adequate women and non-executive directors in the audit committee enhance the chances of the external auditor to be objective and independent in expressing opinion. Ittonen, Miettinen and Vahamaa (2009) reported that companies with female directors are likely to reduce the inherent risk of misstatements. Mwangi et al (2017) explained further that the results of Ittonen et al (2009) have implications for external auditors. Huse and Solberg (2006) established that women directors are better prepared for board meetings compared to men directors and that this results in improved behaviour and effectiveness. They posit that these benefits are also achieved by audit committee with women membership.

Kuang and Chen (2011) added to this when they state that a feminine presence on the Chinese corporate board is closely correlated with demands for higher quality external auditing. Xiang and Qin (2015) argued that having women on the audit committee can significantly increase audit quality of financial reporting and the efficacy of internal control, thus promoting audit quality in Chinese firms just like in every other country. Sun, Liu and Lan (2011) empirical study revealed that the representation of women on fully independent audit committee exert significant effect on audit quality.

In Nigeria, fewer bodies of empirical evidence have considered the influence of audit committee independence on external auditor independence in listed firms with mixed empirical results. For example, studies conducted by Iyengar, Land and Zampelli (2010); Jian and Anandarajan (2009); Chang and Sun (2010); Berthelot (2012) on the relationship between audit committee independence and audit quality are inconclusive. Gosh (2009); Chang and Sun (2010) report that an effective audit committee independence is favourably associated with audit independence in the post-Sarbenes- Oxley studies. Carcello, Hollingsworth, Klein and Neal (2006) find that

independent audit committee members with financial expertise are effective at enhancing audit independence. Petra (2007) finds no relationship between independent audit committee members with audit independence. Sun (2013) also finds an adverse and non-significant effect of independence of the audit committee on audit quality.

Majiyebo, Okpanachi, Nyor, Yahaya and Mohammed (2018) investigated audit committee independence, size and audit quality of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. They used a sample of 15 banks in the period 2007 to 2016. The research outcome shows that audit committee independence has a negative but insignificant effect on auditor independence of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. If audit committee members are independent, objective, possess sound and adequate accounting knowledge and expertise, and they are properly trained in international financial reporting standards (IFRSs), assuming all other factors are held constant, there is the likelihood that they can positively influence auditor independence and the quality of audit report.

Mohamad, Sori, Mohd and Mohamad (2007) examined the relationship between audit committee independence and external auditor independence. The finding revealed that auditor independence can be strengthened by a committed audit committee that is independent and uninfluenced by board of directors in a company. Sharma and Iselin (2012) carried out a study on the effect of independent audit committee characteristics on auditor independence in companies. After controlling for other corporate governance variables, the independence of the audit committee was seen to be a major enhancer of external auditor independence. In the same vein, Zhang, Zhou and

Zhou (2007) research indicates that an association exists between audit committee independence and external auditor independence. Baotham and Ussahawanitchakit (2009) empirical study result showed that audit committee independence has a positive relationship with audit quality; and audit quality has a positive correlation with audit credibility.

The study dealing with the association between managerial share ownership and auditor independence in firms is still inconclusive. The study by Lenox (2005) for instance reported a negative association between managerial ownership and audit independence while Eiya and Ogbeide (2014) found that managerial ownership determined audit independence and was statistically significant. Abdullah (2008) argued that few empirical studies investigated the nexus between managerial ownership and how they impact on auditor independence. Qomariah (2013) study pointed out that the influence of managerial ownership is negative on audit quality. This suggests that managers' ownership in firm impacts on audit quality (auditor independence). Kantudu and Samaila (2015) study revealed that managerial share ownership is significant at determining quality financial reporting and audit quality of listed companies in the oil & gas sector of Nigeria.

Ramadan (2015) research indicates that managerial shareholding is inversely related with audit quality and by extension auditor independence. Ogbonnaya, Ekwe and Ihendinihu (2016) study showed that managerial ownership exerts a positive and significant impact on audit quality. In the same vein, Fei (2015) reported that managerial ownership has a negative and significant effect on audit quality (auditor independence). Lenox (2005) investigated the relation between auditor independence and management ownership in the United Kingdom. The author found a negative correlation between managerial ownership and auditor independence.

Mgbame, Eragbhe and Osazuwa (2012) investigated the relationship between audit tenure and auditor independence in Nigeria. They used the binary logit estimation technique to analyze the data. They found a negative relationship between audit tenure and auditor independence in the listed companies in Nigeria. Salawu (2017) investigated factors influencing auditor independence among listed companies in Nigeria between 2005 and 2013. Descriptive statistics and the Generalized Method of Moment (GMM) were employed to analyze the data generated from 65 listed financial and non-financial companies in the Nigerian Stock Market. Additionally, preliminary tests like Sargan test, Arrelano-Bond Serial Correlation tests, among others were carried out on the data. Finding from the study shows that audit tenure had significant impact, which can impair auditor independence in Nigeria. Enofe, Mgbame, Okunega and Ediae (2013) assesses the association between audit quality and auditor independence. The data for the research was generated from 20 companies in the Nigerian Stock Market. They used ordinary least squares regression method to analyze the data. They concluded in the study that the longer the audit tenure, the higher the auditor independence. Geiger and Raghunandan (2002) point out that auditors with longer tenure are more likely to be independent.

3. Materials and Methods

Where, $Audind_{it} = \text{Auditor}$ independence of i firm in t period; $Mgo_{it} = \text{managerial}$ ownership of i firm in t period; $Auditten_{it} = \text{Audit}$ tenure of i firm in t period; $Bgd_{it} = \text{Board}$ gender diversity of i firm in t period; $Audcind_{it} = \text{Audit}$ committee independence of i firm in t period; i in the sample size; i in the study covers; i in the models; i in the models in the models; i in the models in the models; i in the models in the

Table 1: Operationalization of Variables

S/N	Variables	Type of	Adopted Measurements	Sources	
		Variables			
1.	Auditor	Dependent	Beneish M-Score index	Beneish (1990);	
	Independence	variable		Messier, Martinov -	
				Bennie and Eillifsen	
				(2005).	
2.	Beneish M-	Dependent	Measured using eight	Beneish (1990);	
	Score	variable	financial ratios weighted by	Messier, Martinov -	
			coefficients to identify	Bennie & Eillifsen	
			whether a company has	(2005). Beneish	
			manipulated its profits. The	(1990)	
			eight variables are then		
			weighted together in the		
			formula below. Beneish		
			concluded that if a company		
			scored greater than -2.22 (i.e.		
			a less negative or positive		
			number) there was a likely		
			probability of profit		

			manipulation.	
3.	Board Gender diversity	Independent variable	Measured using BLAU index method	Ogbeide (2018)
4	Audit Tenure	Independent Variable	Length of auditor-client relationship: '1' if 3 yrs+ & '0' if otherwise.	Enofe, Mgbame, Okunega and Ediae (2013).
5.	Managerial Ownership	Independent Variable	Measured as the number of share owned by managers divided by total outstanding shares in the company	Eiya & Ogbeide (2014)
6.	Audit committee independence	Independent Variable	Number of independent directors in the audit committee divided by audit committee size	Salawu (2017
7.	Firm Size	Independent Variable	Total Assets of the firm	Ogbeide 2018)

Source: Researchers' compilation, 2020.

4. Empirical Analysis

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

	A	В	С	D	Е	F
A	1.000					
В	0.015	1.000				
C	0.054	-0.007	1.000			
D	0.008	0.1967*	0.027	1.000		
Е	0.008	0.0761*	0.0704*	0.1532*	1.000	
F	0.009	-0.039	0.002	0.046	0.033	1.000

The letters in table 2 represents the variables in the construct. A represents auditor independence, proxied with Beneish-M-Score index; b represents managerial ownership; c represents audit tenure; d represents board gender diversity; e represents audit committee independence while f represents firm size. Table 2 indicates absence of harmful multicollinearity between the factors influencing auditor independence. The association between managerial ownership and auditor independence is positive (r= 0.015). Board gender diversity is positively correlated with auditor independence (r=0.054); audit committee independence is positively related with auditor independence (r=0.008). The association between the control variable, firm size is weak and positive in the sampled firm. The correlation between auditor independence and the key explanatory variables suggests these variables are key drivers of auditor independence in listed firms in Nigeria.

Table 3: Regression Result

Table 5. Regression	II INCSUIT					
	(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	POLS	FE	DGMM1	DGMM2	SGMM1	SGMM2
Bms	0.687***	0.179	0.185	0.072***	0.273	0.268***
	[0.11]	[0.20]	[0.38]	[0.03]	[0.17]	[0.02]
Mgo	0.004	0.004	0.021	0.002	0.010	0.006***
	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.00]
Auditten	0.023	0.013	-0.143	-0.005	0.025	0.038***
	[0.03]	[0.10]	[0.31]	[0.04]	[0.08]	[0.01]
Audeind	2.756***	11.212***	4.569	0.455	2.172**	0.599***
	[0.89]	[4.10]	[6.36]	[1.38]	[0.94]	[0.36]
Bgd	0.036***	0.027	0.018	0.027	0.025	0.036*
	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.08]	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.01]
Fsize	-0.248***	-0.931***	-3.195***	-1.187***	-0.486***	-0.341***
	[0.07]	[0.25]	[0.80]	[0.29]	[0.10]	[0.04]
Constant	265.711***	267.072***			241.280***	262.878***
	[1.67]	[3.00]			[8.26]	[18.12]
Breusch and Pagan						
Hausman test		76.82***				
Heteroscedasticity (Modified Wald test)		8.5e+07***				
Autocorrelation (Wooldridge test)		25.716***				
Observations	825	660	660	660	825	825
No. of instruments			64	64	102	102
No. of groups		165	165	165	165	165
Arellano-Bond: AR(1)			0.028	0.260	0.001	0.035
Arellano-Bond: AR(2)			0.300	0.295	0.039	0.158
Hansen test (p-val)				1.000		0.851

Standard errors in brackets
$$p < 0.1, p < 0.05, p < 0.01$$

Table 3 relates the effect of the selected explanatory variables on auditor independence of the firms in Nigeria. The AR (2) specification test and Hansen test indicate the model is economically insignificant and remained valid. This implies the model was properly specified and there is absence of second order correlation in the regression result. Two period lag of Beneish-M-Score (auditor independence) is positive and statistically significant. Managerial ownership exerted positive and significant effect on auditor independence in the Nigerian listed firms. The finding agrees with Eiya and Ogbeide (2014); Kantudu and Samaila (2015); Ogbonnaya, Ekwe and Ihendinihu (2016). It however fails to agree with the research findings of Lenox (2005); Qomariah (2013) and Fei (2015).

Audit committee independence is observed to have a positive and significant effect on auditor independence in Nigerian listed firms. The finding is in tandem with studies of Gosh (2009); Chang and Sun (2010); Carcello, et al. (2006) which reported that an effective audit committee independence is favourably associated with audit independence in the post-Sarbenes-Oxley studies. The finding however is inconsistent with Ayoola (2013). Audit tenure exerted a significant impact on auditor independence in the sampled firms. The finding is consistent Salawu (2017); Enofe et al. (2013); Geiger and Raghunandan (2002) and Coyle (2010.

Board gender diversity is positive and non- significant on auditor independence in the listed firms in Nigeria in the reference period. The finding is in line with Thiruvadi (2012); Ittohen et al. (2009); and Bliss, Muniandy and Majid (2007) which reported a positive relationship between presence of women in firms and audit quality. Firm size is negative and significant on auditor independence in the listed firms. The study finding is in tandem with Babatolu, Aigienohuwa and Uniamikogbo (2016).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study examined the factors influencing auditor independence in firms in Nigeria. The study is a departure from previously used measures of auditor independence like audit fee to the use of Beneish M- Score index which has the potency of revealing manipulated accounting numbers and consequently the level of objectivity and independence of the external auditor in the course of audit assignment in firms. This study concludes that audit committee independence, managerial ownership and audit tenure are a key driver of auditor independence among listed firms Nigeria. This study contributes to knowledge in developing countries, being the first to empirically measure auditor independence through the Beneish M- Score index. Following this, the study suggests that listed firms in Nigeria should encourage increased director and managerial ownership in a concentrated manner and independent board members in audit committee as this will contribute to the enhancement of external auditor independence. Future researchers should empirically examine impact of board director religion, proportion of women, board director political connection, and board director attitude to risk, age of an audit firm and spirituality of an auditor independence in Nigeria and other Sub-Saharan African countries.

Reference

- Adeyemi, S.B., & Okpala, F.T. (2011). Audit quality, corporate governance and firm characteristics in Nigeria. International Journal of Business and Management, 5(3), 12-34.
- Alhadi, S.A., Senik, R., & Johari, J. (2018). Institutional ownership and earnings quality pre-and post-IFRS. International Journal of Economics and Management, 12(82), 747-757.
- Ali, S., & Nesrine, L. (2015). The determinant of perceived audit quality and auditee satisfaction in local government. *Journal of Public Budgeting. Accounting &, Financial Management*, 18(2), 139,2Spgs
- Ayoola, B. (2013). The changing responsibilities of auditor in detection and prevention of business frauds within environment in Nigeria. Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Sciences, 1(2), 71-75.
- Babatolu, A., Aigienohuwa, O. & Uniamikogbo, E. (2016). Auditor's independence and audit quality: A study of selected deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 5(1), 13-21.

- Baotham, S., & Ussahawanitchakit, P. (2009), Audit independence, quality, and credibility: effects on reputation and sustainable success of CPAs in Thailand, International Journal of Business Research, 9(1)1-25.
- Beneish, M.D. (1990). Detection of earnings manipulation. Financial Analyst Journal, 55(5), 24-36.
- Berthelot, S. (2012). Corporate governance mechanisms, accounting results and stock valuation in Canada. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 8, 332 342. Doi:10.1168/1743913121126125/.
- Bliss, A., Muniandy, E.R., & Majid, M. (2007). Toward an understanding of the factors affecting the quality of the audit process. Auditing. *A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 24, 88-105
- Carcello, J.V., Hollingsworth, M., Klein, k., & Neal, T.L. (2006). Audit committee composition and auditor reporting. *The Accounting Review*, 75(4), 453 467.
- Chang, J.C., & Sun (2010). Does the disclosure of corporate governance structure affect firms' earnings quality? *Review of Accounting and Finance*, 9(3), 212 243. Doi:10.1506/car.26.2.8.
- Colye H. V. (2010). The impact of audit quality and ownership structure on earnings management of listed firms on Tehran stock exchange. *International Business Management*, 10(10), 1827-1832.
- Eiya, O. & Ogbeide, S.O. (2014). Corporate governance and audit quality of quoted companies: evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Finance and Accounting Research*, 6(2), 61 73.
- Enofe, A.O., Mgbame, C.N., Okunega, E.C., & Ediae, O.O. (2013). Audit quality and auditor independence in Nigeria: an empirical evaluation. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting. 4(11), 131-138.
- Fei, C.C. (2015). The impact of managerial opportunism on earnings reliability. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 7(10), 222 234.
- Geiger, V., & Raghunandan, N. (2002). The Association between Audit Committees, Compensation Incentives, and Corporate Audit Fees. *Rev Quant Finan Acc*, 28(1), 241-255.
- Gosh, A. (2009). Corporate boards, audit committees and earnings management: pre and post sox evidence. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 37(9 10), 1145 1176. Doi:10.1111/j.1468-5957.2009. 02218.x.
- Huse. A.I., & Solberg, A.Y. (2006). Auditee Incentives for Auditor Independence: The Case of Non-Audit Services. *The Accounting Review*, 68(1), 113-133.
- Ittoenen, K., Miettinen, J., & Vahamaa, S. (2011). Does female representation on audit committee affect audit fees? International Journal of Banking and Finance, 8(1), 4-15.
- Iyengar, R.J., Land, J., &Zanoelli, E.M. (2010). Does board governance improve the quality of accounting earnings? *Accounting Research Journal*, 23(1), 49 68. Doi.10.1506/car.26.2.9.
- Jiang, W., & Anandarajan, A. (2009). Shareholder rights, corporate governance and earnings quality: the influence of institutional investors. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 24(3), 767 791. Doi:10.1108/02 6869 00910986402.
- Kane, K.H., & Velury, A, A. (2004). Board heterogeneity, corporate diversification and firm performance. *Journal of Accounting and Economic*, 39(1), 163 197.

- Kantudu, F., & Samaila, D.S. (2015). An empirical study of the effects of audit committees, disclosure of non-audit fees, and other issues on audit independence: Malaysian evidence. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing & Taxation, 5*(2), 231-248.
- Koschtial, Y. (2013). Audiror switching: the pricing of auditor services. Journal of Auditing and Accounting, 5(3), 112-125.
- Kuang, B., & Chen, X. (2011). Board characteristics and higher audit quality—Evidence from Chinese listed companies. In Management Science and Industrial Engineering (MSIE), 2011 International Conference on, 348-352.
- Lennox, C. (2005). Management ownership and audit firm size. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 22(1), 205 227.
- Li, Z.J., & Lin, M. (2005). Impact of corporate governance on auditor choice: evidence from China. Journal of International Accounting, Auditing & Taxation, 18, 44-59.
- Majiyebo, O.J., Okpanachi, J., Nyor, T., Yahaya, O.A. & Mohammed, A. (2018). Audit committee independence, size and financial reporting quality of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Management*, 20(2), 40 47. Doi:10.9790/487x-2002044047.
- Messier, Martinov Bennie & Eillifsen, (2005). The joint provision of audit and non- audit services: evidence from the U.S Banking Frauds within a challenging environment in Nigeria. Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences, (1(2), 71-75.
- Mgbame, C.O., Eragbhe, E. & Osazuwa, N.P. (2012). Audit partner tenure and audit quality: An empirical analysis. *European Journal of Business Management*, 4(7), 154-162.
- Mitchell, A., & Sikka, P. (2002). Dirty Business: The Unchecked power of the major Accounting firms. A monograph by the Association for Accountancy and Business Affairs, Basildon, UK.
- Mohamad, M., Sori, O., Mohd, H., Mohamad, J. (2007). Audit Committees and Voluntary External Auditor Involvement in UK Interim Reporting. *International Journal of Auditing*, 12(1), 45-63.
- Mwangi, A.K., Oluoch, J.O., Muturi, W., & Memba, F. (2017). Effect of audit committee diversity on quality of financial reporting in non-commercial state corporation in Kenya. International Journal of Academic Research and Social Sciences, 7(6), 288-302.
- Ogbeide, S.O. (2018). Assessment of female director representation in the Nigerian listed companies. International Journal of Research Studies in Management, 7(2), 47-56. DOI. 10.5861/IJRSM.201.2018.2013
- Ogbonnaya, A.E., Ekwe, G., & Ihendinihu. F. (2016). Auditor tenure, auditor independence and accrual based earnings management of quoted companies in Nigeria. European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research, 2(22), 63-90.
- Petra, S.T. (2007). The effect of corporate governance on the informativeness of earnings. *Economics of Governance*, 8(2), 129 152.
- Ramadan, N. (2015). Audit committee characteristics and auditor dismissals following "new" going-concern reports. *The Accounting Review*, 78(1), 95 117.
- Salawu, M.R. (2017). Factors influencing auditor independence among listed companies in Nigeria. Generalized Method of Moment (GMM) approach. International Journal of Economic and Finance, (9(8), 191-203.
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). proxy disclosure enhancements (2000). Available at http://www.sec.gov/rules/final/2009/33-9089.pdf (accessed 1st July, 2016).

- Sharma, J., & Iselin, S. (2012). Multiple board appointments and firm performance in emerging economies: Evidence from India. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 17, 271-293.
- Stewart, J. & Munro, L. (2007). The impact of audit committee existence and audit committee meeting frequency on the external audit: perceptions of Australian auditors. *International Journal of Auditing*, 11, 51 69.
- Sun, J. (2013). Auditor industry specialization, board governance and earnings management. *Managerial Auditing Review*, 68, 346 366.
- Sun, J., Liu, G. & Lan, G. (2011). Does female directorship on independent audit committees constrain earnings management? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 99(3), 369 382.
- Tagesson, T., Sjodahl, S., Collin, O., Olsson, J., & Svensson, R. (2017). Does audit rotation influence audit quality? The contested hypothesis tested on Swedish data. Working Paper Series, ISSN: 1650-0636.
- Thiruvadi, P. (2012). Audit independence, quality and credibility: effects on reputation and sustainability success of CPAs in Thailand. *International Journal of Business Research*, 9(1), 1-25.
- Xiang, M.N., & Qin, K. (2015). Do listed companies need an IFRS committee beside audit committee? *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 8(2), 8 18.
- Zhang, C., Zhou, H., & Zhou, Y. (2007). Management ownership and audit firm size. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 22(1), 205 22.